

Literary Criticism on Bhairappa and Ananthamurthy: A Comparative Study

Dr. Umesh S. Desai

Associate Professor, Govt. First Grade College for Women & PG Centre, Jamkhandi

Corresponding email: umeshsdk@gmail.com

Abstract:

In this article I discussed about literary contribution of Dr. S. L. Bhairappa and Dr. U. R. Ananthmurthy stand central and influential positions in modern kannada literature. Both contributed noble and novel literary pieces to the kannada literature. They are belonged to the same post-independence literary generation, their philosophical orientation and narrative methods are strongly discussed. Before and after them there were many literary giants like B M Srikantayya, Kuvemp Bendre, Karanta, Adiga and Kumbhar. Kannada has a unique and major place and contribution in indian literature. Till now kannada language received eight jnaana peeth awards. Both Dr. Bhairappa and Dr. Ananthmurthy were much contradictory in their letter and their living being. In spite of all this their contribution to the kannada literature will always be remembered.

While Dr. Bhairappa supported the orthodox and culture where as Dr. Ananthmurthy questioned and strongly opposed the old rituals and system of the society. Dr. Ananthmurthy influenced by modern English literature and European culture in almost of his works. Ananthmurthy associated strongly with the Navya or modernist movement and he is recognized for his critical examination of caste, religious orthodoxy, social hierarchy, moral conflict and the tensions between tradition and modernity that I can see it in his writings.

But Dr. Bhairappa born in poor family faced many challenges in his life. He was deeply associated and influenced by Indian philosophy inquiry, historical research, psychological realism with Indian civilization, ethical questions and cultural memory. Both are peaks in their opinion but created stall worthy literary pieces. It seems very difficult to criticize and tell who among two is better.

Keywords: S L Bhairappa and U R Ananthamurthy, Kannada literature, Navya movement, tradition, modernity, caste, identity, philosophical fiction, social criticism.

Introduction

Kannada literature faced distinct structural and cultural hurdles but its survival and growth culminated in one of south Asia's richest literary traditions. In such a rich literary culture, both Dr. S L Bhairappa and Dr. U R Ananthamurthy are towering titans of modern kannada literature.

By the time kannada already became a major literary contributor to the Indian literature. While both Bhairappa and Ananthmurthy addressed deep philosophical, cultural and moral dilemmas of Indian society, their literary

approaches, political philosophies and styles stood very opposite. Their works created most vibrant intellectual debates in Indian letters. Both were distinguished novelist and public intellectuals, but their literary visions developed in different directions. Their works created significant debates concerning religion, history, caste, Indian tradition, western modernity and the role of the writers in society.

Dr. Bhairappa stood by epic realism and deep philosophical inquiry, psychological analysis. His important works include Vamshavruksha, Grihabhanga, Daatu, Parva, Saarth, Mandra and Yaana. His fiction explores the relationship between individual life and larger cultural, historical, and philosophical structures. On the other side Ananthmurthy's works sharply question traditional, religious structures, ritualism and Brahminical orthodoxy. His fiction is characterized by moral ambiguity, modern experimentation, social criticism and the conflict between inherited tradition and modern consciousness. His works include Samskara, Bharathipura, Avasthe, Bhava,, and Bara. He received the Jnanpith Award and became one of the most influential voices in Indian literature. His writings often present individuals who are divided between social obligations and personal freedom. Although both have separate ideology but were very much clear in their ideology and created great works.

Literature Reviews:

Dr. S L Bhairappa was one of the most widely read and discussed novelists in kannada literature. His novels delve into complex human emotions, moral dilemmas, cultural roots and Indian heritage, often challenging prevailing literary trends. As a philosophy professor he was going deep discussion about his characters and the situation and developed strong interest in questions in concerning human existence, morality, knowledge, religion and civilization. His realistic storytelling and unyielding commitment to the truth frequently sparked intense public debate. By grounding epic retellings and contemporary social issues in deep intellectual rigor. Dr. S L Bhairappa created a timeless legacy that continues to captivate reader. One approach seeks philosophical coherence, cultural continuity and historical understanding; the other emphasizes questioning, conflict, self-criticism and social transformation as across languages nationwide.

Bhairappa's literary career cannot be limited to a single movement. While many modern writers were closely associated with particular literary schools, Bhairappa developed an independent position. His novels often resist simple ideological classification.

Dr. U R Ananthmurthy was a monumental in modern kannada literature and a pioneer of the modernist Navy movement. His literary development was influenced by Indian traditions as well as Western modernism. He engaged with modern philosophical thought but continued to write in kannada because he considered the language deeply connected to his cultural experience and moral identity. His works most famously Samskara, Bharathipura and Avasthe flawlessly blend existential questioning with sharp socio-political critique. Through vivid realism, Ananthmurthy fearlessly dissected the rigidities of caste, religious orthodoxy, modern bureaucracy and individual moral dilemmas amidst a changing Indian landscape. His deeply introspective characters navigate the painful fiction between tradition and modernity.

Ananthamurthy does not usually provide clear moral solutions. His characters experience, doubt and uncertainty. They often recognize the weaknesses of tradition but cannot easily escape its influence. Ananthamurthy believed that literature should not simply confirm existing beliefs. It should create questions, discomfort and self-examination. His fiction often presents problems without offering final solutions.

Limitations: Both are much learned scholars. But both have different and opposite ideology. But literature always find their limitations. Dr. S L Bhairappa is widely celebrated for his meticulous research and deep philosophical themes, but literary critics often point out key limitations in his work. The intellectual differences between Bhairappa and Ananthamurthy became an important part of modern kannada literary history. Their disagreements involved questions concerning literary form, ideology, Indian philosophy, history and the role of the writer. The debate was valuable because it encouraged serious discussion about kannada literature and Indian intellectual life. It demonstrated that literature is not merely entrainment; it is a space where society examines its beliefs and values.

Ideological overtones: Critics frequently note that his later novels lean heavily toward right-wing ideological stances, which can at times make the narratives feel prescriptive or overly opinionated.

Conventional form: Bhairappa mostly relied on conventional, linear storytelling. His style emphasizes story and debate over experimental literary techniques or aesthetic prose.

Similarities Between Bhairappa and Ananthamurthy

The two writers are having similarities in several important features: Both are major writers in modern kannada literature and examine Indian society critically. They have explored caste, religion, tradition and modernity. These writers use literature as a medium of intellectual inquiry and create psychological complex characters. Both have contributed to national and international recognition of Kannada literature.

Rigid tradition vs modernity Binaries: Ananthamurthy's plots frequently frame modern life as morally compromised while portraying traditional values as the primary source of ethical endurance. Dr. Ananthamurthy must be celebrated for his criticism of Indian tradition and modernity but he has also same limitations.

Differences Between Bhairappa and Ananthamurthy

The two writers are having several differences: Bhairappa on philosophical realism truth, identity, history, and culture. He explained detailed, analytical and research based social and philosophical conflicts and understanding truth and human experience. Whereas Ananthamurthy was modernist and written existential fiction, moral conflict, caste, social changes and he critically questioned orthodox people. His writing are based on symbolic, psychological, ambiguous, inner conflict, moral uncertainty and questioning society and self.

Gender biased: Ananthmurthy in his whole novels focused on male characters and he treated the female characters portrait through binary extremes. While Dr. U R Ananthmurthy is celebrated for his profound critique of indian tradition and modernity, literary critics often highlight several key limitations in his novels. Women characters rarely possess independent agency outside the male protagonist's narrative arc.

His central characters are predominantly upper-caste, elite men. Consequently, issues of caste and social reform are frequently explored as internal moral dilemmas for the oppressor rather than centering the lived experiences and voices of marginalized communities.

Indecisive endings: Plots often end on open-ended, highly philosophical or ambivalent notes, leaving concrete social solutions unresolved.

Conclusion

Kannada is one of the ancient and richest literary tradition not only in india but in south Asia. Since the beginning kannada literature crossed many literary movements. Desi/marga, vachan chaluvali, Haridas bhakti movement and later in modern times Navodaya, Navya, Dalit, Bandaya and mahila samavedana etc.

Bhairappa didn't recognized with any movement. He stood alone. His novels explore identity, morality history, religion and civilization. His research-based method and intellectual independence made him one of the modt important novelists in kannada literature. On the other hand, Dr. Ananthmurthy actively participated in many movements. He is the pioneer to start Navya movement in kannada literature.

Ananthamurthy's fiction is characterized by modernist experimentation, social criticism, psychological depth and social inequality. The literary differences of Bhairappa and Ananthamurthy merely should not be seen as opposition but their contrasting perspectives enrich kannada literature. Bhairappa's writing reminds readers of the importance of cultural memory, philosophical inquiry and historical understanding. Ananthamurthy novels remind the readers of self-criticism, ethical responsibility and social reform.

Both writers demonstrate that literature does not provide only one truth. It provides a space for interpretation of different truth and values. Their works continue to influence the literary criticism, social thought and the study of modern Indian literature.

References

1. Ananthamurthy, U. R. (1965). Samskara. Kannada novel.
2. Ananthamurthy, U. R. (1973) Bharathipura. Kannada novel.
3. Bhairappa, S. L. (1970). Grihabanga. Kannada novel.
4. Bhairappa, S. L. (1965). Vamshavruksha. Kannada novel.
5. Bhairappa, S. L. (1979). Parva Kannada novel.
6. Gundur, N. S., & Shrikanth, B. R. (2025). Canon, critic, constrain: The many lives of kannada writer S. L. Bhairappa. The Indian Express.
7. Ananthamurthy, U. R. Essays and reflections on Indian writing, tradition andmodernity.